UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Andys M. Noguera-Tamayo	Case No. 1:12-mj-00388
А		eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
hat the d	efendant be detained pending trial.	
		indings of Fact
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim the possession or use of a firearn a failure to register under 18 U.S.	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)		while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)		date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defenda	imption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	nt has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		cablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
		ve Findings (B)
, ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan	
		the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at t a preponderance of the evidence that:	he detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing
	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention	ntest detention at this time. to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 13, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	